International J. of Math. Sci. & Engg. Appls. (IJMSEA) ISSN 0973-9424, Vol. 10 No. II (August, 2016), pp. 161-168

BOUNDARY *n*-SIGNED GRAPHS

P. SIVA KOTA REDDY¹, R. RAJENDRA² AND M. C. GEETHA³

¹ Department of Mathematics,
Siddaganga Institute of Technology, Tumkur-572 103, India
² Department of Mathematics,
Field Marshal K.M. Cariappa College
a constituent college of Mangalore University)
Madikeri-571 201, India
³ Department of Mathematics,
East West Institute of Technology, Bangalore-560 091, India

Abstract

In this paper, we extended the notion in the graphs called boundary graph to n-signed graphs and then we proved boundary n-signed graph is always identity balanced for given any n-signed graph. Further, we proved several switching equivalence characterizations and structural characterization for boundary n-signed graphs.

1. Introduction

Unless mentioned or defined otherwise, for all terminology and notion in graph theory the reader is refer to [3]. We consider only finite, simple graphs free from self-loops.

Key Words and Phrases : *n-Signed graphs, n-Marked graphs, Balance, Switching, Balance, Boundary n-signed graphs, Complementation.*

2000 AMS Subject Classification : 05C 22.

© http://www.ascent-journals.com

Let $n \ge 1$ be an integer. An *n*-tuple $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)$ is symmetric, if $a_k = a_{n-k+1}, 1 \le k \le n$. Let $H_n = \{(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n) : a_k \in \{+, -\}, a_k = a_{n-k+1}, 1 \le k \le n\}$ be the set of all symmetric *n*-tuples. Note that H_n is a group under coordinate wise multiplication, and the order of H_n is 2^m , where $m = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$.

A symmetric n-sigraph (symmetric n-marked graph) is an ordered pair $\Sigma_n = (\Gamma, \sigma)$ $(\Sigma_n = (\Gamma, \mu))$, where $\Gamma = (V, E)$ is a graph called the *underlying graph* of Σ_n and $\sigma : E \to H_n$ ($\mu : V \to H_n$) is a function.

In this paper by an n-tuple/n-signed graph/n-marked graph we always mean a symmetric n-tuple/symmetric n-sigraph/symmetric n-marked graph.

An *n*-tuple $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)$ is the *identity n*-tuple, if $a_k = +$, for $1 \le k \le n$, otherwise it is a *non-identity n*-tuple. In an *n*-signed graph $\Sigma_n = (\Gamma, \sigma)$ an edge labelled with the identity *n*-tuple is called an *identity edge*, otherwise it is a *non-identity edge*.

Further, in an *n*-signed graph $\Sigma_n = (\Gamma, \sigma)$, for any $A \subseteq E(\Gamma)$ the *n*-tuple $\sigma(A)$ is the product of the *n*-tuples on the edges of A.

In [9], the authors defined two notions of balance in *n*-signed graph $\Sigma_n = (\Gamma, \sigma)$ as follows (See also R. Rangarajan and P.S.K.Reddy [6]:

Definition : Let $\Sigma_n = (\Gamma, \sigma)$ be an *n*-signed graph. Then,

- (i) Σ_n is *identity balanced* (or *i-balanced*), if product of *n*-tuples on each cycle of Σ_n is the identity *n*-tuple, and
- (ii) Σ_n is *balanced*, if every cycle in Σ_n contains an even number of non-identity edges.

Note : An *i*-balanced *n*-signed graph need not be balanced and conversely.

The following characterization of i-balanced n-signed graphs is obtained in [9].

Theorem 1 : An *n*-signed graph $\Sigma_n = (\Gamma, \sigma)$ is *i*-balanced if, and only if, it is possible to assign *n*-tuples to its vertices such that the *n*-tuple of each edge uv is equal to the product of the n-tuples of u and v.

Let $\Sigma_n = (\Gamma, \sigma)$ be an *n*-signed graph. Consider the *n*-marking μ on vertices of Σ_n defined as follows: each vertex $v \in V$, $\mu(v)$ is the *n*-tuple which is the product of the *n*-tuples on the edges incident with v. Complement of Σ_n is an *n*-signed graph $\overline{\Sigma_n} = (\overline{\Gamma}, \sigma^c)$, where for any edge $e = uv \in \overline{\Gamma}, \sigma^c(uv) = \mu(u)\mu(v)$. Clearly, $\overline{\Sigma_n}$ as defined here is an *i*-balanced *n*-signed graph due to Theorem 1.

Let $\Sigma_n = (\Gamma, \sigma)$ and $\Sigma'_n = (\Gamma', \sigma')$, be two *n*-signed graphs. Then Σ_n and Σ'_n are said to be *isomorphic*, if there exists an isomorphism $\phi : \Gamma \to \Gamma'$ such that if uv is an edge in Σ_n with label $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)$ then $\phi(u)\phi(v)$ is an edge in Σ'_n with label $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)$. Let $\Sigma_n = (\Gamma, \sigma)$ be an *n*-signed graph, switching of *n*-signed graph with respect to the

Let $\Sigma_n = (\Gamma, \sigma)$ be an *n*-signed graph, switching of *n*-signed graph with respect to the *n*-marking μ is the replacing the *n*-tuple on each edge $e = uv \in E(\Sigma_n)$ as the product of *n*-tuple of u, e = uv and v (i.e, $\mu(u)\sigma(uv)\mu(v)$). The resulting *n*-signed graph is denoted by $(\Sigma_n)_{\mu}$ and is called the switched *n*-signed graph. Two *n*-signed graphs $(\Sigma_n)_1 = (\Gamma_2, \sigma)$ and $(\Sigma_n)_2 = (\Gamma_2, \sigma')$ are said to be switching equivalent and is denoted by $(\Sigma_n)_1 \sim (\Sigma_n)_2$, if $((\Sigma_n)_1)_{\mu} \cong (\Sigma_n)_2$.

Let $(\Sigma_n)_1 = (\Gamma_1, \sigma)$ and $(\Sigma_n)_2 = (\Gamma_2, \sigma')$ be two *n*-signed graphs with $\Gamma_1 \cong \Gamma_2$. The *n*-tuple each cycle in $(\Sigma_n)_1$ is same as the *n*-tuple each cycle in $(\Sigma_n)_1$, then the above two *n*-signed graphs (with their underlying graphs are isomorphic) are said to cycle isomorphic. We make use of the following known result (see [9]):

Theorem 2 : Given a graph Γ , any two *n*-signed graphs with Γ as underlying graph are switching equivalent if, and only if, they are cycle isomorphic.

In this paper, we introduced the notion called boundary n-signed graph and we obtained some interesting results in the following sections.

2. Switching Invariant Boundary *n*-Signed Graphs

Inspired by the concept of boundary vertex introduced by Chartrand et al. ([1], [2]), in [5]), the authors the new notion in the graph theory called boundary graph $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma)$ contingent upon only 1-component graph Γ . Suppose $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be any graph, the boundary graph $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma)$ of Γ with $V(\mathcal{B}(\Gamma)) = V(\Gamma)$ with $p, q \in V(\mathcal{B}(\Gamma))$ and $e = pq \in$ $E(\mathcal{B}(\Gamma))$, if either the distance between p and r is less than or equal to the distance between p and q, for each $r \in N(q)$ or the distance between q and r is less than or equal to the distance between p and q, for each $r \in N(p)$.

In [5], the authors also remarked that the graphs $\operatorname{Radius}(\Gamma) = 1 = \operatorname{diam}(\Gamma)$, then Γ is boundary graph.

By using the concept of complement in *n*-signed graphs, we define the boundary *n*-signed graph $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma_n) = (\mathcal{B}(\Gamma), \sigma)$ is an *n*-signed graph whose underlying graph is boundary graph

and *n*-tuple of any edge $pq \in \mathcal{B}(\Sigma_n)$ is the component-wise multiplication of *n*-tuples of the vertices p and q assigned by using the *n*-marking. For some *n*-signed graph $(\Sigma_n)_1$, such that $\mathcal{B}[(\Sigma_n)_1] \cong \Sigma_n$, then Σ_n is called the $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma_n)$.

The *n*-signed graphs can be classified into two types namely, identity balanced and identity unbalanced signed graphs. Further, the *n*-signed graph $\Sigma_n = (\Gamma, \sigma)$ is identity balanced and identity unbalanced, we have $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma_n)$ is always identity balanced in either of the cases.

Theorem 3 : Let $\Sigma_n = (\Gamma, \sigma)$ be any *n*-signed graph. Then its $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma_n)$ is identity balanced.

Proof: Let p and q be any two vertices in n-signed marked graph. By the definition of $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma_n)$, we observed that $V(\mathcal{B}(\Sigma_n)) = V(\Sigma_n)$. Let pq be any edge in $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma_n)$, then the n-tuple of the edge pq is equal to the component-wise multiplication n-tuples assigned to p and q by n-marking. Hence, by Theorem 1, $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma_n)$ is identity balanced. \Box Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, the k^{th} iterated boundary n-signed graph $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma_n)$ of Σ_n is defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{B}^0(\Sigma_n) = \Sigma_n, \, \mathcal{B}^k(\Sigma_n) = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B}^{k-1}(\Sigma_n)).$$

Corollary 4 : Let $\Sigma_n = (\Gamma, \sigma)$ be any *n*-signed graph and $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then iterated boundary *n*-signed graph $\mathcal{B}^k(\Sigma_n)$ is identity balanced.

Theorem 5: Let $(\Sigma_n)_1 = (\Gamma_1, \sigma_1)$ and $(\Sigma_n)_2 = (\Gamma_2, \sigma_2)$ with $\Gamma_1 \cong \Gamma_2$. Then $\mathcal{B}[(\Sigma_n)_1] \sim \mathcal{B}[(\Sigma_n)_2]$.

Proof: Let $(\Sigma_n)_1$ and $(\Sigma_n)_2$ be any *n*-signed graphs with their underlying graphs are isomorphic. Then $\mathcal{B}[(\Sigma_n)_1]$ and $\mathcal{B}[(\Sigma_n)_2]$ are identity balanced and they are switching equivalent, by Theorem 2.

In [5], the authors characterized the graphs for which graph and its boundary graph are isomorphic.

Theorem 6 : Let $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be graph. Then Γ is isomorphic to any complete graph if, and only if, the graph Γ and the boundary graph $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma)$ are isomorphic.

By the motivation of the above work, we obtained the necessary and sufficient conditions for $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma_n) \sim \Sigma_n$.

Theorem 7: Let $\Sigma_n = (\Gamma, \sigma)$ be any *n*-signed graph. Then Σ_n is identity balanced and Γ is isomorphic to K_q , for any $q \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ if, and only if, the boundary *n*-signed graph $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma_n)$ and the *n*-signed graph Σ_n are switching equivalent. **Proof**: Suppose Σ_n is identity balanced and Γ is isomorphic to K_q , for any $q \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then, since $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma_n)$ is identity balanced as per Theorem 3. Then $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma_n)$ and Σ_n are identity balanced and hence they are switching equivalent, from the Theorem 2.

Conversely, suppose that $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma_n) \sim \Sigma_n$. Then Γ and $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma)$ are isomorphic. Now Γ is any complete graph. Consider an *n*-signed graph $\Sigma_n = (\Gamma, \sigma)$ with Γ is isomorphic to any complete graph K_q , for any $q \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, then $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma_n)$ is identity balanced. If Σ_n identity unbalanced (i.e., the component-wise multiplication of all *n*-tuples of each cycle in Σ_n is non-identity *n*-tuple), then $\Sigma_n = (\Gamma, \sigma)$ and $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma_n)$ are not switching equivalent, which is a contradiction and hence $\Sigma_n = (\Gamma, \sigma)$ and $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma_n)$ are switching equivalent, by the hypothesis. Therefore, Σ_n is identity *n*-tuple. \Box

Let $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be any graph and a vertex $p \in V(\Gamma)$ is said to be complete vertex, if $\langle N(p) \rangle$ is complete. In [5], the authors characterized the graphs for which $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma)$ and $\overline{\Gamma}$ are isomorphic. The neighborhood of the $p \in \Gamma$ is denoted by $N_k(p)$ and is defined as the set of all vertices $r \in N(p)$ such that the distance between the vertices p and r is k. **Theorem 8** : Let $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be graph. Then $\overline{\Gamma}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma)$ if, and only if, the graph Γ satisfies the following conditions:

i . for each $p \in V(\Gamma)$, $\langle N(p) \rangle$ is not complete

ii . if
$$e=pq\in E(\Gamma),$$
 then neither $N(p)-\{q\}\subseteq N(q)-\{p\}$ nor $N(q)-\{p\}\subseteq N(p)-\{q\}$

iii . for each pair of non-adjacent vertices $p, q \in V(\Gamma)$, the sets $N_k(p)$ and $N_k(q)$ are empty sets, where k = d(p,q) + 1.

By the motivation of the above work, we characterized *n*-signed graphs, the boundary *n*-signed graph and complement of Σ_n are switching equivalent.

Theorem 9: Let $\Sigma_n = (\Gamma, \sigma)$ be any *n*-signed graph. Then the complement of *n*-signed graph $\overline{\Sigma_n}$ and boundary *n*-signed graph $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma_n)$ are switching equivalent if, and only if, Γ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 8.

Proof: Suppose Γ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 8. Now, we have $\overline{\Gamma} \cong \mathcal{B}(\Gamma)$ from the above result. Consider an *n*-signed graph $\Sigma_n = (\Gamma, \sigma)$ with Γ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 8. Then $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma_n)$ and $\overline{\Sigma_n}$ are identity balanced and hence they are switching equivalent.

Conversely, suppose that $\overline{\Sigma_n} \sim \mathcal{SA}(\Sigma_n)$. Then $\overline{\Gamma} \cong \mathcal{B}(\Gamma)$ and the underlying graph Γ satisfies conditions of Theorem 8.

The *c*-complement of *n*-tuple $b = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$, where *c* is any element of H_n is an *n*-tuple such that $b^c = bc$. Let *M* be a subset of H_n and *c* is any element of H_n , the *c*-complement of the subset *M* of H_n is $M^c = \{b^c : b \in M\}$.

Suppose $\Sigma_n = (\Gamma, \sigma)$ be any *n*-signed graph and *c* is any *n*-tuple of the set of all *n*-tuples H_n , the *c*-complement of Σ_n is an *n*-signed graph $(\Sigma_n)^c = (\Gamma, \sigma)$ and each *n*-tuple $b = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$ in Σ_n changed as b^c .

In view of the above concept, we have the following switching equivalent characterizations in the flavor of Theorems 7 & 9.

Corollary 10 : Let $\Sigma_n = (\Gamma, \sigma)$ be an *n*-signed graph. Then

- **i** . Σ_n is identity unbalanced and Γ is isomorphic to K_q , for any $q \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ if, and only if, the boundary *n*-signed graph $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma_n)$ and the *c*-complement of *n*-signed graph $[\Sigma_n]^c$ are switching equivalent.
- ii . Σ_n is identity balanced and Γ is isomorphic to K_q , for any $q \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ if, and only if, $\mathcal{B}[(\Sigma_n)^c]$ and the *n*-signed graph Σ_n are switching equivalent.
- iii . Σ_n is identity unbalanced and Γ is isomorphic to K_q , for any $q \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ if, and only if, $\mathcal{B}[(\Sigma_n)^c]$ and the *c*-complement of *n*-signed graph $[\Sigma_n]^c$ are switching equivalent.
- iv the complement of *n*-signed graph $\overline{\Sigma_n}$ and $\mathcal{B}[(\Sigma_n)^c]$ are switching equivalent if, and only if, Γ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 8.
- \mathbf{v} . $\overline{[\Sigma_n]^c}$ and boundary s-n-signed graph $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma_n)$ are switching equivalent if, and only if, Γ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 8.
- vi . $\overline{[\Sigma_n]^c}$ and $\mathcal{B}[(\Sigma_n)^c]$ are switching equivalent if, and only if, Γ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 8.

Remark 11: Let $(\Sigma_n)_1 = (\Gamma_1, \sigma_1)$ and $(\Sigma_n)_2 = (\Gamma_2, \sigma_2)$ with $\Gamma_1 \cong \Gamma_2$. Then $\mathcal{B}[((\Sigma_n)_1)^c] \sim \mathcal{B}[((\Sigma_n)_2)^c].$

Given any *n*-signed graph, we have proved that $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma_n)$ is identity balanced. Using the *c*-complement, we have the following result with respect to the notion $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma_n)$.

Theorem 12: Suppose the boundary graph $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma)$ is bipartite. Then the *c*-complement of boundary *n*-signed graph $\mathcal{B}[(\Sigma_n)^c]$ is identity balanced.

Proof: In consideration of Theorem 3, the boundary *n*-signed graph $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma_n)$ is identity balanced. Then *n*-tuple of each cycle in $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma_n)$ is identity *n*-tuple. By the hypothesis, $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma)$ is bipartite. Then *n*-tuple of each cycle in $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma_n)$ having identity *n*-tuple. Therefore, the *c*-complement of boundary *n*-signed graph $\mathcal{B}[(\Sigma_n)^c]$ is identity balanced.

3. Structural Characterization of $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma_n)$

In this section, we present the structural characterization of $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma_n)$.

Theorem 13: Let $\Sigma_n = (\Gamma, \sigma)$ be any *n*-signed graph. Then Σ_n is identity balanced and Γ is a boundary graph if, and only if, $\Sigma_n = (\Gamma, \sigma)$ is $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma_n)$.

Proof: Let us assume that Σ_n is $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma_n)$. Then $\Sigma_n \cong \mathcal{B}[(\Sigma_n)_1]$, where $(\Sigma_n)_1$ for some *n*-signed graph. Therefore, the *n*-signed graph Σ_n identity balanced, because $\Sigma_n = \mathcal{B}(\Sigma_n)$. Conversely, suppose that $\Sigma_n = (\Gamma, \sigma)$ is identity balanced and Γ is a $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma)$ (i.e, $\Gamma \cong \mathcal{B}(\Gamma_1)$, for some graph Γ_1). By the hypothesis, Σ_n is identity balanced, then construct the marked *n*-signed graph. According to the Theorem 1, each edge pq in $(\Sigma_n)_{\mu}$ satisfies $\sigma(pq) = \mu(p)\mu(q)$. Consider the *n*-signed graph $(\Sigma_n)_1 = (\Gamma_1, \sigma_1)$ in which each edge e = (pq) in $\Gamma_1, \sigma_1(e) = \mu(p)\mu(q)$. Hence $\Sigma_n \cong \mathcal{B}[(\Sigma_n)_1]$. Therefore, Σ_n is a $\mathcal{B}(\Sigma_n)$.

Acknowledgement

The authors are thankful to the anonymous referee for valuable suggestions and comments for the improvement of the paper. Also, the first author is grateful to Dr. M. N. Channabasappa, Director and Dr. Shivakumaraiah, Principal, Siddaganga Institute of Technology, Tumkur, for their constant support and encouragement.

References

- Chartrand G., Erwin David, Johns G. L. and Zhang P., On boundary vertices in graphs, J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput., 48 (2004), 3953.
- [2] Chartrand G., Erwin David, Johns G. L. and Zhang P., Boundary vertices in graphs, Disc. Math., 263 (2003), 2534.
- [3] Harary F., Graph Theory, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., (1969).
- [4] Lokesha V., Reddy P. S. K. and Vijay S., The triangular line n-sigraph of a symmetric n-sigraph, Advn. Stud. Contemp. Math., 19(1) (2009), 123-129.

- [5] Marimuthu G. and Saraswathy M. S., Dynamics of Boundary Graphs, J. Sci. Res., 5(3) (2013), 447-455.
- [6] Rangarajan R. and Reddy P. S. K., Notions of balance in symmetric n-sigraphs, Proceedings of the Jangjeon Math. Soc., 11(2) (2008), 145-151.
- [7] Rangarajan R., Reddy P. S. K. and Subramanya M. S., Switching Equivalence in Symmetric n-Sigraphs, Adv. Stud. Comtemp. Math., 18(1) (2009), 79-85.
- [8] Rangarajan R., Reddy P. S. K. and Soner N. D., Switching equivalence in symmetric n-sigraphs-II, J. Orissa Math. Sco., 28 (1 & 2) (2009), 1-12.
- [9] Sampathkumar E., Reddy P. S. K., and Subramanya M. S., Jump symmetric n-sigraph, Proceedings of the Jangjeon Math. Soc., 11(1) (2008), 89-95.
- [10] Sampathkumar E., Reddy P. S. K. and Subramanya M. S., The Line n-sigraph of a symmetric n-sigraph, Southeast Asian Bull. Math., 34(5) (2010), 953-958.
- [11] Reddy P. S. K. and Prashanth B., Switching equivalence in symmetric nsigraphs-I, Advances and Applications in Discrete Mathematics, 4(1) (2009), 25-32.
- [12] Reddy P. S.K., Vijay S. and Prashanth B., The edge C_4 *n*-sigraph of a symmetric *n*-sigraph, Int. Journal of Math. Sci. & Engg. Appls., 3(2) (2009), 21-27.
- [13] Reddy P. S. K., Lokesha V. and Gurunath Rao Vaidya, The Line *n*-sigraph of a symmetric *n*-sigraph-II, Proceedings of the Jangjeon Math. Soc., 13(3) (2010), 305-312.
- [14] Reddy P. S. K., Lokesha V. and Gurunath Rao Vaidya, The Line *n*-sigraph of a symmetric *n*-sigraph-III, Int. J. Open Problems in Computer Science and Mathematics, 3(5) (2010), 172-178.
- [15] Reddy P. S. K., Lokesha V. and Gurunath Rao Vaidya, Switching equivalence in symmetric *n*-sigraphs-III, Int. Journal of Math. Sci. & Engg. Appls., 5(1) (2011), 95-101.
- [16] Reddy P. S. K., Nagaraja K. M. and Geetha M. C., The Line n-sigraph of a symmetric n-sigraph, International J. Math. Combin., 1 (2012), 106-112.
- [17] Reddy P. S. K., Nagaraja K. M. and Geetha M. C., KYUNGPOOK Math. J., 54(1) (2014), 95-101.