International J. of Math. Sci. & Engg. Appls. (IJMSEA) ISSN 0973-9424, Vol. 11 No. III (December, 2017), pp. 185-201

$(p,q)^{th}$ RELATIVE GOL'DBERG ORDER OF ENTIRE FUNCTIONS OF SEVERAL VARIABLES

DIBYENDU BANERJEE¹ AND SIMUL SARKAR²

^{1,2} Department of Mathematics, Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan-731235, India

Abstract

After the recent works of Prajapati and Rastogi [1] on the idea of p^{th} Gol'dberg relative order, we introduce in this paper $(p,q)^{th}$ relative Gol'dberg order of entire functions of several complex variables and extend their results for $(p+1,p)^{th}$ relative Gol'dberg order.

1. Introduction and Definitions

We denote the point $(z_1, z_2, ..., z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ by z, where \mathbb{C}^n denote the n-dimensional complex space. Let $D \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ be bounded complete n-circular domain with centre at the origin. For an entire function f(z) of n complex variables, let $M_{f,D}(R) = \sup_{z \in D_R} |f(z)|$. For R > 0, a point $z \in D_R$ if and only if $\frac{z}{R} \in D$.

If f(z) is non-constant, then $M_{f,D}(R)$ is strictly increasing and its inverse

© http://www.ascent-journals.com

UGC approved journal (Sl No. 48305)

Key Words : Gol'dberg order, Relative order, Entire function.

AMS Subject Classification : 32A15.

$$M_{f,D}^{-1}: (|f(0)|, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$$

exists such that $\lim_{R \to \infty} M_{f,D}^{-1}(R) = \infty$.

The Gol'dberg order of an entire function of n complex variables is defined as follows.

Definition 1.1 [2]: The Gol'dberg order (briefly G-order) $\rho_{f,D}$ of f with respect to the domain D is defined as

$$\rho_{f,D} = \limsup_{R \to \infty} \frac{\log \log M_{f,D}(R)}{\log R}.$$

The lower Gol'dberg order $\lambda_{f,D}$ of f with respect to the domain D is defined as

$$\lambda_{f,D} = \liminf_{R \to \infty} \frac{\log \log M_{f,D}(R)}{\log R}$$

It is known [2] that $\rho_{f,D}$ is independent of the choice of the domain D, so we write ρ_f instead of $\rho_{f,D}$.

In 2010, Mondal and Roy introduced the concept of relative order of an entire function in \mathbb{C}^n with respect to another entire function of several variables.

Definition 1.2 [3]: Let f and g be entire functions of n-variables and D be a bounded complete n-circular domain with centre at the origin in \mathbb{C}^n . Then the relative order $\rho_{q,D}(f)$ of f with respect to g and the domain D is defined by

$$\rho_{g,D}(f) = \inf\{\mu > 0 : M_{f,D}(R) < M_{g,D}(R^{\mu}), \text{ for all } R > R_0(\mu) > 0\}$$
$$= \limsup_{R \to \infty} \frac{\log M_{g,D}^{-1}(M_{f,D}(R))}{\log R}.$$

In [3] Mondal and Roy proved that the relative order of f with respect to g is independent of the choice of the domain D. So the relative Gol'dberg order of f with respect to gwill be denoted by $\rho_g(f)$.

In a recent paper, Prajapati and Rastogi [1] introduced the concept of p^{th} relative Gol'dberg order $\lambda_{g,D}^{[p]}(f)$ of f with respect to g in the domain D as

$$\lambda_{g,D}^{[p]}(f) = \liminf_{R \to \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1}(M_{f,D}(R))}{\log R}$$

where p = 1, 2, 3, ...

In the case of relative order it therefore seems reasonable to define suitably $(p,q)^{th}$ Gol'dberg order and $(p,q)^{th}$ relative Gol'dberg order of an entire function with respect to another entire function of n complex variables in a domain D and to investigate its basic properties, which we attempt in this paper. With this in view we introduce the following definitions.

Definition 1.3: Let f and g be two non-constant entire functions of n-complex variables and D be a bounded complete n-circular domain with centre at the origin in \mathbb{C}^n . If p, q are positive integers such that $p > q \ge 1$ then the $(p, q)^{th}$ Gol'dberg order and $(p, q)^{th}$ Gol'dberg lower order are respectively denoted by $\rho_{f,D}^{[p,q]}$ and $\lambda_{f,D}^{[p,q]}$ and are defined by

$$\rho_{f,D}^{[p,q]} = \limsup_{R \to \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{f,D}(R)}{\log^{[q]} R}$$

and

$$\lambda_{f,D}^{[p,q]} = \liminf_{R \to \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{f,D}(R)}{\log^{[q]} R}, \ p = 2, 3, 4, \dots \,.$$

When p = 2 and q = 1 then these are equivalent to the definition of Gol'dberg order and lower Gol'dberg order.

Definition 1.4: Let f and g be entire functions of n-complex variables and D be a bounded complete n-circular domain with centre at the origin in \mathbb{C}^n . Then $(p,q)^{th}$ relative Gol'dberg order $\rho_{g,D}^{[p,q]}(f)$ of f with respect to g in the domain D is defined by

$$\rho_{g,D}^{[p,q]}(f) = \limsup_{R \to \infty} \frac{\log^{[p-1]} M_{g,D}^{-1}(M_{f,D}(R))}{\log^{[q]} R}$$

Similarly $(p,q)^{th}$ relative Gol'dberg lower order $\lambda_{g,D}^{[p,q]}(f)$ with respect to g in the domain D is defined by

$$\lambda_{g,D}^{[p,q]}(f) = \liminf_{R \to \infty} \frac{\log^{[p-1]} M_{g,D}^{-1}(M_{f,D}(R))}{\log^{[q]} R}.$$

We say that f is of $(p,q)^{th}$ regular growth if $\rho_{g,D}^{[p,q]}(f) = \lambda_{g,D}^{[p,q]}(f)$. **Definition 1.5**: An entire function g is said to have property (A) if for any $\alpha > 1$ and for all large R,

$$\{M_{g,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R)\}^2 < \{M_{g,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R^{\alpha})\}.$$

2. Basic Results

The following theorem shows that $(p,q)^{th}$ relative Gol'dberg order is independent of the choice of the domain.

Theorem 2.1: Let f and g be entire functions of n-complex variables then $(p,q)^{th}$ relative Gol'dberg order of f with respect to g is independent of the choice of the domain D.

Proof : Let D_1 and D_2 be any two bounded complete n-circular domains. Then there exist two real numbers $\alpha, \beta > 0$ such that $\alpha D_1 \subset D_2 \subset \beta D_1$ and so,

$$M_{f,\alpha D_1}(R) \le M_{f,D_2}(R) \le M_{f,\beta D_1}(R).$$

Hence for any bounded complete n-circular domain D

$$M_{g,D}^{-1}(M_{f,\alpha D_1}(R)) \le M_{g,D}^{-1}(M_{f,D_2}(R)) \le M_{g,D}^{-1}(M_{f,\beta D_1}(R)).$$
(2.1)

Since for any $\lambda > 0$ and D,

$$M_{f,\lambda D}(R) = M_{f,D}(\lambda R),$$

so we have

$$\begin{split} \limsup_{R \to \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1}(M_{f,\lambda D}(R))}{\log^{[q]} R} &= \limsup_{R \to \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1}(M_{f,D}(\lambda R))}{\log^{[q]} R} \\ &= \limsup_{R \to \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1}(M_{f,D}(R))}{\log^{[q]} \frac{R}{\lambda}} \\ &= \limsup_{R \to \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1}(M_{f,D}(R))}{\log^{[q]} R}. \end{split}$$

Hence from (2.1)

$$\begin{split} \limsup_{R \to \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1}(M_{f,D_1}(R))}{\log^{[q]} R} &= \limsup_{R \to \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1}(M_{f,\alpha D_1}(R))}{\log^{[q]} R} \\ &\leq \limsup_{R \to \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1}(M_{f,D_2}(R))}{\log^{[q]} R} \\ &\leq \limsup_{R \to \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1}(M_{f,\beta D_1}(R))}{\log^{[q]} R} \\ &\leq \limsup_{R \to \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1}(M_{f,\beta D_1}(R))}{\log^{[q]} R}. \end{split}$$

Thus

$$\limsup_{R \to \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1}(M_{f,D_1}(R))}{\log^{[q]} R} = \limsup_{R \to \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1}(M_{f,D_2}(R))}{\log^{[q]} R}$$

Hence the theorem.

So after this we shall always write, $\rho_g^{[p,q]}(f)$ instead of $\rho_{g,D}^{[p,q]}(f)$. **Theorem 2.2**:Let f and g be entire functions of n complex variables such that

Theorem 2.2:Let *f* and *g* be entire functions of *n* complex variables such that
$$0 < \lambda_f^{[p+1,p]} \le \rho_f^{[p+1,p]}$$
 and $0 < \lambda_g^{[p+1,p]} \le \rho_g^{[p+1,p]}$. Then $\frac{\lambda_f^{[p+1,p]}}{\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}} \le \lambda_g^{[p+1,p]}(f) \le \min\{\frac{\lambda_g^{[p+1,p]}}{\lambda_g^{[p+1,p]}}, \frac{\rho_f^{[p+1,p]}}{\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}}\} \le \max\{\frac{\lambda_g^{[p+1,p]}}{\lambda_g^{[p+1,p]}}, \frac{\rho_f^{[p+1,p]}}{\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}}\} \le \rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f) \le \frac{\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}}{\lambda_g^{[p+1,p]}}$.

Proof: From the definition of $(p+1, p)^{th}$ Gol'dberg order and Gol'dberg lower order we get for arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$ and for all large values of R

$$M_{f,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R) < exp^{[p]}R^{\rho_f^{[p+1,p]} + \epsilon}$$
(2.2)

$$M_{g,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R) < exp^{[p]}R^{\rho_g^{[p+1,p]} + \epsilon}$$
(2.3)

$$M_{f,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R) > exp^{[p]}R^{\lambda_f^{[p+1,p]} - \epsilon}$$
(2.4)

$$M_{g,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R) > exp^{[p]}R^{\lambda_g^{[p+1,p]}} - \epsilon.$$
(2.5)

Also for a sequence $\{R_n\}$ tending to infinity we get that

$$M_{f,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R_n) > exp^{[p]}R_n^{\rho_f^{[p+1,p]} - \epsilon}$$
(2.6)

$$M_{g,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R_n) > exp^{[p]}R_n^{\rho_g^{[p+1,p]} - \epsilon}$$
(2.7)

$$M_{f,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R_n) < exp^{[p]}R_n^{\lambda_f^{[p+1,p]} + \epsilon}$$
(2.8)

$$M_{g,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R_n) < exp^{[p]}R_n^{\lambda_g^{[p+1,p]} + \epsilon}$$
(2.9)

Now from the definition of $(p+1,p)^{th}$ relative Gol'dberg order, we get for arbitrary $\epsilon_1 > 0$ and for all large values of R that

$$\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f) + \epsilon_1 > \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1}(M_{f,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R))}{\log R}.$$

Now from (2.6) we get for a sequence $\{R_n\}$ tending to infinity that,

$$\begin{split} \rho_{g}^{[p+1,p]}(f) + \epsilon_{1} &> \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1}(exp^{[p]} R_{n}^{\rho_{f}^{[p+1,p]}-\epsilon})}{\log R_{n}} \\ &= \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1}(exp^{[p]} (R_{n}^{\frac{\rho_{f}^{[p+1,p]}-\epsilon}{\rho_{g}^{[p+1,p]}+\epsilon}})^{\rho_{g}^{[p+1,p]}+\epsilon})}{\log R_{n}} \\ &> \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1} M_{g,D}(exp^{[p-1]} (R_{n}^{\frac{\rho_{f}^{[p+1,p]}-\epsilon}{\rho_{g}^{[p+1,p]}+\epsilon}}))}{\log R_{n}}, \quad using(2.3) \\ &= \frac{\rho_{f}^{[p+1,p]}-\epsilon}{\rho_{g}^{[p+1,p]}+\epsilon}. \end{split}$$

As $\epsilon_1(>0)$ and $\epsilon(>0)$ are arbitrary, we get

$$\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f) \ge \frac{\rho_f^{[p+1,p]}}{\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}}.$$
(2.10)

Also from (2.2) we get for arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$ and for all large values of R that

$$\frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1} M_{f,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R)}{\log R} < \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1}(exp^{[p]} R^{\rho_f^{[p+1,p]} + \epsilon})}{\log R} \\ = \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1}(exp^{[p]} (R^{\frac{\rho_f^{[p+1,p]} + \epsilon}{\rho_g^{[p+1,p]} - \epsilon}})^{\rho_g^{[p+1,p]} - \epsilon})}{\log R}$$

Now from (2.7) we get for a sequence $\{R_n\}$ tending to infinity that

$$\frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1} M_{f,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R_n)}{\log R_n} < \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1} M_{g,D}(exp^{[p-1]}(R_n^{\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}+\epsilon}))}{\log R_n})$$

Therefore

$$\liminf_{R_n \to \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1} M_{f,D}(exp^{[p-1]} R_n)}{\log R_n} \le \frac{\rho_f^{[p+1,p]} + \epsilon}{\rho_g^{[p+1,p]} - \epsilon}.$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we have

$$\lambda_g^{[p+1,p]}(f) \le \frac{\rho_f^{[p+1,p]}}{\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}}.$$
(2.11)

Now from the definition of $(p+1, p)^{th}$ relative Gol'dberg lower order, we get for arbitrary $\epsilon_2 > 0$ and for all large values of R that

$$\lambda_g^{[p+1,p]}(f) - \epsilon_2 < \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1}(M_{f,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R))}{\log R}.$$

Now from (2.8) we get for a sequence $\{R_n\}$ tending to infinity

$$\begin{split} \lambda_{g}^{[p+1,p]}(f) - \epsilon_{2} &< \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1}(exp^{[p]} R_{n}^{\lambda_{f}^{[p+1,p]} + \epsilon})}{\log R_{n}} \\ &= \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1}(exp^{[p]} (R_{n}^{\frac{\lambda_{f}^{[p+1,p]} + \epsilon}{\lambda_{g}^{[p+1,p]} - \epsilon}})^{\lambda_{g}^{[p+1,p]} - \epsilon})}{\log R_{n}} \\ &< \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1} M_{g,D}(exp^{[p-1]} R_{n}^{\frac{\lambda_{f}^{[p+1,p]} + \epsilon}{\lambda_{g}^{[p+1,p]} - \epsilon}})}{\log R_{n}}, \quad using(2.5) \\ &= \frac{\lambda_{f}^{[p+1,p]} + \epsilon}{\lambda_{g}^{[p+1,p]} - \epsilon}. \end{split}$$

Since $\epsilon_2(>0)$ and $\epsilon(>0)$ are arbitrary, we obtain that

$$\lambda_g^{[p+1,p]}(f) \le \frac{\lambda_f^{[p+1,p]}}{\lambda_g^{[p+1,p]}}.$$
(2.12)

Now from (2.4) we get for arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$ and for large values of R that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1} M_{f,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R)}{\log R} &> \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1}(exp^{[p]} R^{\lambda_f^{[p+1,p]} - \epsilon})}{\log R} \\ &= \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1}(exp^{[p]} (R^{\frac{\lambda_f^{[p+1,p]} - \epsilon}{\lambda_g^{[p+1,p]} + \epsilon}})^{\lambda_g^{[p+1,p]} + \epsilon})}{\log R}. \end{aligned}$$

Now from (2.9) we obtain for a sequence $\{R_n\}$ tending to infinity that

$$\frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1} M_{f,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R_n)}{\log R_n} > \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1} M_{g,D}(exp^{[p-1]} R_n^{\frac{\lambda_f^{[p+1,p]} - \epsilon}{\lambda_g^{[p+1,p]} + \epsilon}})}{\log R_n}.$$

So,

$$\limsup_{R_n \to \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1} M_{f,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R_n)}{\log R_n} \ge \frac{\lambda_f^{[p+1,p]} - \epsilon}{\lambda_g^{[p+1,p]} + \epsilon}.$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we have

$$\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f) \ge \frac{\lambda_f^{[p+1,p]}}{\lambda_g^{[p+1,p]}}.$$
(2.13)

Again from definition, we get for arbitrary $\epsilon_3 > 0$ and for a sequence $\{R_n\}$ tending to infinity that

$$\begin{split} \rho_{g}^{[p+1,p]}(f) &- \epsilon_{3} < \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1}(M_{f,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R_{n}))}{\log R_{n}} \\ &< \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1}(exp^{[p]}R_{n}^{\rho_{f}^{[p+1,p]} + \epsilon})}{\log R_{n}}, \quad using(2.2) \\ &= \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1}(exp^{[p]}(R_{n}^{\frac{\rho_{f}^{[p+1,p]} + \epsilon}{\lambda_{g}^{[p+1,p]} - \epsilon}})^{\lambda_{g}^{[p+1,p]} - \epsilon})}{\log R_{n}} \\ &< \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1} M_{g,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R_{n}^{\frac{\rho_{f}^{[p+1,p]} + \epsilon}{\lambda_{g}^{[p+1,p]} - \epsilon}})}{\log R_{n}}, \quad using(2.5) \\ &= \frac{\rho_{f}^{[p+1,p]} + \epsilon}{\lambda_{g}^{[p+1,p]} - \epsilon}}. \end{split}$$

Since $\epsilon_3(>0)$ and $\epsilon(>0)$ are arbitrary, we have

$$\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f) \le \frac{\rho_f^{[p+1,p]}}{\lambda_g^{[p+1,p]}}.$$
(2.14)

Also from definition, we get for arbitrary $\epsilon_4 > 0$ and for a sequence $\{R_n\}$ tending to

infinity that

$$\begin{split} \lambda_{g}^{[p+1,p]}(f) + \epsilon_{4} &> \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1} M_{f,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R_{n})}{\log R_{n}} \\ &> \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1}(exp^{[p]} R_{n}^{\lambda_{f}^{[p+1,p]} - \epsilon})}{\log R_{n}}, \quad using(2.4) \\ &= \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1}(exp^{[p]} (R_{n}^{\frac{\lambda_{f}^{[p+1,p]} - \epsilon}{\rho_{g}^{[p+1,p]} + \epsilon}})^{\rho_{g}^{[p+1,p]} + \epsilon})}{\log R_{n}} \\ &> \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1} M_{g,D}(exp^{[p-1]} R_{n}^{\frac{\lambda_{f}^{[p+1,p]} - \epsilon}{\rho_{g}^{[p+1,p]} + \epsilon}})}{\log R_{n}}, \quad using(2.3) \\ &= \frac{\lambda_{f}^{[p+1,p]} - \epsilon}{\rho_{g}^{[p+1,p]} + \epsilon}}. \end{split}$$

Since $\epsilon_4(>0)$ and $\epsilon(>0)$ are arbitrary, we get

$$\lambda_g^{[p+1,p]}(f) \ge \frac{\lambda_f^{[p+1,p]}}{\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}} \tag{2.15}$$

The theorem follows from (2.10), (2.11), (2.12), (2.13), (2.14) and (2.15).

Theorem 2.3 : Let f and g be entire functions of n complex variables such that $\rho_f^{[p+1,p]} = 0$ and $0 < \rho_g^{[p+1,p]} < \infty$. Then $\lambda_g^{[p+1,p]}(f) = 0$.

Proof : From the definition, we have for arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$ and for all large values of R that

$$M_{f,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R) < (exp^{[p]}R^{\epsilon}).$$

So,

$$\frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1} M_{f,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R)}{\log R} < \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1}(exp^{[p]}R^{\epsilon})}{\log R} = \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1}(exp^{[p]}(R^{\overline{\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}}-\epsilon})^{\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}-\epsilon})}{\log R}$$

Now from (2.7) we get for a sequence $\{R_n\}$ tending to infinity that

$$\frac{log^{[p]}M_{g,D}^{-1}M_{f,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R_n)}{logR_n} < \frac{log^{[p]}M_{g,D}^{-1}M_{g,D}(exp^{[p-1]}(R_n^{\overline{\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}}_-\epsilon}))}{logR_n})$$

Therefore,

$$\liminf_{R_n \to \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1} M_{f,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R_n)}{\log R_n} \le \frac{\epsilon}{\rho_g^{[p+1,p]} - \epsilon}$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary it follows that

$$\lambda_g^{[p+1,p]}(f) = 0.$$

Theorem 2.4 : Let f and g be entire functions of n complex variables such that $0 < \rho_f^{[p+1,p]} < \infty$ and $\rho_g^{[p+1,p]} = 0$. Then $\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f) = \infty$.

Proof : From the definition of $(p+1, p)^{th}$ relative Gol'dberg order, we get for arbitrary $\epsilon_1 > 0$ and for all large values of R that

$$\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f) + \epsilon_1 > \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1} M_{f,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R))}{\log R}$$

Now from (2.6) we get for a sequence $\{R_n\}$ tending to infinity that,

$$\begin{split} \rho_{g}^{[p+1,p]}(f) + \epsilon_{1} &> \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1}(exp^{[p]} R_{n}^{\rho_{f}^{[p+1,p]}-\epsilon})}{\log R_{n}} \\ &= \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1}(exp^{[p]} (R_{n}^{\frac{\rho_{f}^{[p+1,p]}-\epsilon}{\epsilon}})^{\epsilon})}{\log R_{n}} \\ &> \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1} M_{g,D}(exp^{[p-1]} R_{n}^{\frac{\rho_{f}^{[p+1,p]}-\epsilon}{\epsilon}})}{\log R_{n}}, \quad using(2.3) \text{ and } \rho_{g}^{[p+1,p]} = 0 \\ &= \frac{\rho_{f}^{[p+1,p]}-\epsilon}{\epsilon}. \end{split}$$

Since $\epsilon_1(>0)$ and $\epsilon(>0)$ are arbitrary it follows that

$$\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f) = \infty$$

Theorem 2.5: Let f and g be two entire functions and $\rho_f^{[p+1,p]}$ and $\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}$ be the $(p+1,p)^{th}$ Gol'dberg order of f and g respectively. Then the $(p+1,p)^{th}$ relative Gol'dberg order $\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f)$ of f(z) with respect to g(z) satisfies

(i)
$$\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f) \ge \frac{\rho_f^{[p+1,p]}}{\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}}$$

194

(ii) If g is of $(p+1,p)^{th}$ regular growth then

$$\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f) = \frac{\rho_f^{[p+1,p]}}{\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}}$$

Proof : From the definition of $(p+1, p)^{th}$ Gol'dberg order we have for arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$ and for all large values of R

$$M_{f,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R) < exp^{[p]}R^{\rho_f^{[p+1,p]} + \epsilon}$$
(2.16)

and

$$M_{g,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R) < exp^{[p]}R^{\rho_g^{[p+1,p]} + \epsilon}$$
(2.17)

Also for a sequence $\{R_n\}$ tending to infinity, we get that

$$M_{f,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R_n) > exp^{[p]}R_n^{\rho_f^{[p+1,p]} - \epsilon}.$$
(2.18)

Now,

$$\begin{split} \rho_{g}^{[p+1,p]}(f) &= \limsup_{R \to \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1}(M_{f,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R))}{\log R} \\ &\geq \limsup_{R_n \to \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1}(exp^{[p-1]}R_n^{\rho_{f}^{[p+1,p]} - \epsilon})}{\log R_n} \quad by \quad (2.18) \\ &= \limsup_{R_n \to \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1}(exp^{[p-1]}(R_n^{\rho_{g}^{[p+1,p]} + \epsilon})\rho_{g}^{[p+1,p]} + \epsilon)}{\log R_n} \\ &\geq \limsup_{R_n \to \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1} M_{g,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R_n^{\rho_{g}^{[p+1,p]} + \epsilon})}{\log R_n}, \quad using \quad (2.17) \\ &= \frac{\rho_{f}^{[p+1,p]} - \epsilon}{\rho_{g}^{[p+1,p]} + \epsilon}. \end{split}$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary,

$$\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f) \ge \frac{\rho_f^{[p+1,p]}}{\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}} \tag{2.19}$$

This proves (i).

When g is of $(p+1,p)^{th}$ regular growth, we have for $\epsilon > 0$ and for all $R > R_0$

$$M_{g,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R) > exp^{[p]}R^{\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}} - \epsilon.$$
(2.20)

Now,

$$\begin{split} \rho_{g}^{[p+1,p]}(f) &= \limsup_{R \to \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1}(M_{f,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R))}{\log R} \\ &\leq \limsup_{R \to \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1}(exp^{[p]} R^{\rho_{f}^{[p+1,p]} + \epsilon})}{\log R} \quad from \quad (2.16) \\ &= \limsup_{R \to \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1}(exp^{[p]} (R^{\frac{\rho_{f}^{[p+1,p]} + \epsilon}{\rho_{g}^{[p+1,p]} - \epsilon}})^{\rho_{g}^{[p+1,p]} - \epsilon})}{\log R} \\ &\leq \limsup_{R \to \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1}(exp^{[p-1]} R^{\frac{\rho_{f}^{[p+1,p]} + \epsilon}{\rho_{g}^{[p+1,p]} - \epsilon}})}{\log R} \quad from \quad (2.20) \\ &= \frac{\rho_{f}^{[p+1,p]} + \epsilon}{\rho_{g}^{[p+1,p]} - \epsilon}}. \end{split}$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, so

$$\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f) \le \frac{\rho_f^{[p+1,p]}}{\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}}.$$
(2.21)

Hence from (2.19) and (2.21) we have

$$\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f) = \frac{\rho_f^{[p+1,p]}}{\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}}$$

3. Sum and Product Theorems

Theorem 3.1: Let f_1, f_2 and g be three transcendental entire functions. If $\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_1)$ and $\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_2)$ be the $(p+1,p)^{th}$ relative Gol'dberg order of f_1 and f_2 respectively with respect to g and

 $\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_1) \neq \rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_2)$, then the $(p+1,p)^{th}$ relative Gol'dgerg order of $f_1 + f_2$ is given by

$$\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_1+f_2) = max\{\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_1), \rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_2)\}.$$
Proof: We have,

$$\begin{split} \rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_1) &= \limsup_{R \to \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1}(M_{f_1,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R))}{\log R}, \\ \rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_2) &= \limsup_{R \to \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1}(M_{f_2,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R))}{\log R}, \end{split}$$

and

$$\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_1+f_2) = \limsup_{R \to \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1}(M_{f_1+f_2,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R))}{\log R}.$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that $\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_1) > \rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_2)$. Now,

$$\begin{split} M_{f_1+f_2,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R) &\leq M_{f_1,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R) + M_{f_2,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R) \\ &< M_{g,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R^{\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_1)+\epsilon}) + M_{g,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R^{\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_2)+\epsilon}) \text{ for large } R \\ &< 2M_{g,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R^{\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_1)+\epsilon}) \\ &< M_{g,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R^{\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_1)+2\epsilon}), \text{ for sufficiently large } R. \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$\limsup_{R \to \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1}(M_{f_1 + f_2, D}(exp^{[p-1]}R))}{\log R} \le \rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_1) + 2\epsilon.$$

So,

$$\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_1 + f_2) \le \rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_1).$$
(3.1)

On the other hand, there exists a sequence $\{R_n\}$ of value of R, tending to infinity, such that,

$$M_{f_1,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R_n) > M_{g,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R_n^{\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_1)-\epsilon}).$$
(3.2)

We have

$$M_{f_1+f_2,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R_n) \ge M_{f_1,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R_n) - M_{f_2,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R_n)$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_1) - \epsilon > \rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_2) + \epsilon$. Then

$$M_{f_2,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R_n) < M_{g,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R_n^{\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_2)+\epsilon}),$$
(3.3)

for sufficiently lagre n.

So from (3.2) and (3.3) we have,

$$\begin{split} M_{f_1+f_2,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R_n) &> M_{g,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R_n^{\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_1)-\epsilon}) - M_{g,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R_n^{\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_2)+\epsilon}) \\ &= M_{g,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R_n^{\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_1)-\epsilon}) [1 - \frac{M_{g,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R_n^{\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_2)+\epsilon})}{M_{g,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R_n^{\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_1)-\epsilon})}] \\ &> \frac{1}{2}M_{g,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R_n^{\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_1)-\epsilon}) \ for \ sufficiently \ large \ n \\ &> M_{g,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R_n^{\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_1)-2\epsilon}) \ for \ large \ n \end{split}$$

or,

$$\limsup_{R \to \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1}(M_{f_1+f_2,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R))}{\log R} \ge \rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_1) - 2\epsilon.$$

So,

$$\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_1 + f_2) \ge \rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_1). \tag{3.4}$$

Hence from (3.1) and (3.4) we get $\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_1+f_2) = max\{\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_1), \rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_2)\}$. This proves the theorem.

Theorem 3.2: Let f_1 and f_2 be two entire functions of $(p+1,p)^{th}$ relative Gol'dberg order $\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_1)$ and $\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_2)$ respectively. If g has the property (A), then the $(p+1,p)^{th}$ relative Gol'dberg order of $f_1.f_2$ is $\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_1.f_2) \leq max\{\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_1), \rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_2)\}.$

Proof: Without loss of generality, let us assume that $\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_1) \ge \rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_2)$. For $\epsilon > 0$ and for all large R, we have

$$M_{f_1,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R) < M_{g,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R^{\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_1)+\epsilon})$$

and

$$M_{f_2,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R) < M_{g,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R^{\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_2)+\epsilon})$$

Now we have

$$\begin{split} M_{f_1,f_2,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R) &\leq M_{f_1,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R).M_{f_2,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R) \\ &< M_{g,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R^{\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_1)+\epsilon}).M_{g,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R^{\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_2)+\epsilon}) \\ &< [M_{g,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R^{\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_1)+\epsilon})]^2 \\ &< M_{g,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R^{\alpha(\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_1)+\epsilon)}), \alpha > 1 from \ property(A). \end{split}$$

Hence,

$$log^{[p-1]}M_{g,D}^{-1}M_{f_1,f_2,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R) < R^{\alpha(\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_1)+\epsilon)}$$

or,
$$\lim \sup_{R \to \infty} \frac{log^{[p]}M_{g,D}^{-1}M_{f_1,f_2,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R)}{logR} \le \alpha(\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_1)+\epsilon).$$

Letting $\alpha \to 1^+$ and since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, so we have $\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_1.f_2) \le \rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_1).$

Hence the theorem.

Theorem 3.3: Let f be an entire function of $(p+1,p)^{th}$ relative Gol'dberg order $\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f) \neq 0$ and P(z) be a polynomial. If g has the property (A), then the $(p+1,p)^{th}$ relative Gol'dberg order $\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f.P)$ of f(z).P(z) is same as $\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f)$.

198

Proof : From Theorem 3.2 we have,

$$\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f.P) \le \rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f) \tag{3.5}$$

since the $(p+1,p)^{th}$ relative Gol'dberg order of P(z) with respect to g is zero. Since $M_{P,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R) \ge 1$ for all sufficiently large R, $\sup |f(z)P(z)| \ge \sup |f(z)|$, where $z \in D_{exp^{[p-1]}R}$ and for all sufficiently large Ri.e., $M_{f,P,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R) \ge M_{f,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R)$. So, $\limsup_{R\to\infty} \frac{\log^{[p]}M_{g,D}^{-1}(M_{f,P,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R))}{\log R} \ge \limsup_{R\to\infty} \frac{\log^{[p]}M_{g,D}^{-1}(M_{f,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R))}{\log R}$

$$i.e., \rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f.P) \ge \rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f).$$
 (3.6)

Hence from (3.5) and (3.6) we get, $\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f.P) = \rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f)$.

4. Asymptotic Behaviour

Definition 4.1: Two entire functions g_1 and g_2 are said to be asymptotically equivalent if

 $\frac{M_{g_1,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R)}{M_{g_2,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R)} \to 1 \text{ as } R \to \infty \text{ and in this case we write } g_1 \sim g_2.$

Theorem 4.1: Let g_1, g_2 and f be three entire functions and $\rho_{g_1}^{[p+1,p]}(f)$ and $\rho_{g_2}^{[p+1,p]}(f)$ be the $(p+1,p)^{th}$ relative Gol'dberg order of f with respect to g_1 and g_2 respectively. If $g_1 \sim g_2$ then $\rho_{g_1}^{[p+1,p]}(f) = \rho_{g_2}^{[p+1,p]}(f)$.

Proof : Since $g_1 \sim g_2$, we have for $\epsilon > 0$ and for all large R,

$$M_{g_1,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R) < (1+\epsilon)M_{g_2,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R) < M_{g_2,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R^{(1+\epsilon)}).$$

Hence

$$R < \log^{[p-1]} M_{g_1,D}^{-1} \{ M_{g_2,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R^{(1+\epsilon)}) \}$$
(4.1)

for all large R. Let $M_{g_2,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R^{(1+\epsilon)}) = R_1$. Then $R = \{log^{[p-1]}M_{g_2,D}^{-1}(R_1)\}^{\frac{1}{(1+\epsilon)}}$. Now from (1.1) we get, $log^{[p-1]}M_{g_2,D}^{-1}(R_1) < (log^{[p-1]}M_{g_1,D}^{-1}(R_1))^{1+\epsilon}$ for large R_1 . Now,

$$\begin{split} \rho_{g_2}^{[p+1,p]}(f) &= \limsup_{R_1 \to \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g_2,D}^{-1}(M_{f,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R_1))}{\log R_1} \\ &= \limsup_{R_1 \to \infty} \frac{\log[\log^{[p-1]} M_{g_2,D}^{-1}(M_{f,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R_1))]}{\log R_1} \\ &\leq \limsup_{R_1 \to \infty} \frac{\log[\log^{[p-1]} M_{g_1,D}^{-1}(M_{f,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R_1))]^{1+\epsilon}}{\log R_1} \\ &= (1+\epsilon)\limsup_{R_1 \to \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g_1,D}^{-1}(M_{f,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R_1))]}{\log R_1} \\ &= (1+\epsilon)\rho_{g_1}^{[p+1,p]}(f). \end{split}$$

So,

$$\rho_{g_2}^{[p+1,p]}(f) \le \rho_{g_1}^{[p+1,p]}(f).$$

Also if $g_2 \sim g_1$ so

$$\rho_{g_1}^{[p+1,p]}(f) \le \rho_{g_2}^{[p+1,p]}(f).$$

Hence, $\rho_{g_2}^{[p+1,p]}(f) = \rho_{g_1}^{[p+1,p]}(f).$

Theorem 4.2: Let f_1, f_2 and g be three transcendental entire functions. If $\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_1)$ and $\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_2)$ be the $(p+1,p)^{th}$ relative Gol'dberg order of f_1 and f_2 respectively with respect to g. If $f_1 \sim f_2$ then $\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_1) = \rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_2)$.

Proof : Since $f_2 \sim f_1$, we have for $\epsilon > 0$ and for all large R,

$$M_{f_2,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R) < (1+\epsilon)M_{f_1,D}(exp^{[p-1]}R).$$

Now,

$$\begin{split} \rho_{g}^{[p+1,p]}(f_{2}) &= \limsup_{R \to \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1}(M_{f_{2},D}(exp^{[p-1]}R))}{\log R} \\ &\leq \limsup_{R \to \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1}((1+\epsilon)M_{f_{1},D}(exp^{[p-1]}R))}{\log R} \\ &\leq \limsup_{R \to \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M_{g,D}^{-1}(M_{f_{1},D}(exp^{[p-1]}R^{(1+\epsilon)}))}{\log R^{(1+\epsilon)}}.(1+\epsilon) \\ &= (1+\epsilon)\rho_{g}^{[p+1,p]}(f_{1}). \end{split}$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary so,

$$\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_2) \le \rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_1).$$

Also if $f_1 \sim f_2$ so

$$\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_1) \le \rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_2)$$

Hence, $\rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_1) = \rho_g^{[p+1,p]}(f_2).$

References

- Prajapati B. and Rastogi A. Some results on pth Gol'dberg relative order, International Journal of Applied Mathematics and Statistical Sciences, 5(2) (2016), 147-154.
- [2] Fuks B., A Theory of Analytic Functions of Several Complex Variables, Volume 8. American Mathematical Soc. (1963).
- [3] Mondal B. C. and Roy C., Relative Goldberg order of an entire function of several variables. Bull. Cal. Math. Soc., 102(4) (2010), 371-380.