

## FIXED POINT THEOREMS IN COMPLETE $G$ -METRIC SPACE

N. SURENDER<sup>1</sup> AND B. KRISHNA REDDY<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup> Department of Mathematics,  
University College of Science,  
Osmania University, Hyderabad, India  
E-mail: <sup>1</sup> surender\_sri2002@yahoo.co.in, <sup>2</sup> bkrbkr\_07@yahoo.com

### Abstract

In this paper, we prove some fixed point theorems in complete  $G$ -Metric Space for self mapping satisfying various contractive conditions. We also discuss that these mapping are  $G$  continuous on such a fixed point.

### 1. Introduction

Some generalizations of the notion of a metric space have been proposed by some authors. Gähler [1, 2] coined the term of 2-metric spaces. This is extended to  $D$ -metric space by Dhage (1992) [3, 4]. Dhage proved many fixed point theorems in  $D$ -metric space. Recently, Mustafa and Sims [7] showed that most of the results concerning Dhage's  $G$ -metric spaces are invalid. Therefore, in 2006 they introduced a new notion of generalized metric space called  $G$ -metric space [5]. In fact, Mustafa et al. studied many fixed point results for a self mapping in  $G$ -metric spaces under certain conditions; see [5, 6, 7, 8 and 9].

Now, we give preliminaries and basic definitions which are used through-out the paper.

---

Key Words :  $G$ -Metric Spaces, Fixed Point,  $G$  convergent.

© <http://www.ascent-journals.com>

## 2. Definitions and Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1** [5] : Let  $X$  be a non empty set, and Let  $G : X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function satisfying the following axioms

(G1)  $G(x, y, z) = 0$  if  $x = y = z$ ,

(G2)  $G(x, x, y) > 0$  for all  $x, y \in X$ , with  $x \neq y$ .

(G3)  $G(x, x, y) \leq G(x, y, z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , with  $y \neq z$ .

(G4)  $G(x, y, z) = G(x, z, y) = G(y, z, x) = \dots$ , (symmetry in all three variables)

(G5)  $G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, a, a) + G(a, y, z)$ , for all  $x, y, z, a \in X$  (rectangular inequality)

Then the function  $G$  is called a generalized metric, or more specially a  $G$ -metric on  $X$ , and the pair  $(X, G)$  is called a  $G$ -metric space.

**Example** : Let  $(X, d)$  be a usual metric space. Then  $(X, G_s)$  and  $(X, G_m)$  are  $G$ -metric spaces, where

$$G_s(x, y, z) = d(x, y) + d(y, z) + d(x, z) \quad \text{for all } x, y, z \in X$$

and

$$G_m(x, y, z) = \max\{d(x, y), d(y, z), d(z, x)\} \quad \text{for all } x, y, z \in X.$$

**Definition 2.2** [5] : Let  $(X, G)$  and  $(X', G')$  be  $G$ -metric spaces and let  $f : (X, G) \rightarrow (X', G')$  be a function, then  $f$  is said to be  $G$ -continuous at a point  $a \in X$  if given  $\epsilon > 0$  there exist  $\delta > 0$  such that  $x, y \in X, G(a, x, y) < \delta$  implies that  $G'(fa, fx, fy) < \epsilon$ . A function  $f$  is  $G$ -continuous on  $X$  if and only if it is  $G$ -continuous at all  $a \in X$ .

**Definition 2.3** [5] : Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric space, and let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence of points of  $X$ , therefore; we say that  $\{x_n\}$  is  $G$ -convergent to  $x$  if  $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} G(x, x_n, x_m) = 0$ ; that is, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $G(x, x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$  for all  $n, m \geq N$ . We call  $x$  is the limit of the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  and we write  $x_n \rightarrow x$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  or  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ .

**Proposition 2.4** [5] : Let  $(X, G)$  and  $(X', G')$  be  $G$ -metric spaces, then a function  $f : X \rightarrow X'$  is said to be  $G$ -continuous at a point  $x \in X$  if and only if it is  $G$ -sequentially continuous, that is, whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is  $G$ -convergent to  $x$ ,  $\{fx_n\}$  is  $G$ -convergent to  $f(x)$ .

**Proposition 2.5** [5] : Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric space. Then the following statements are equivalent.

(a)  $\{x_n\}$  is  $G$ -convergent to  $x$ .

(b)  $G(x_n, x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

(c)  $G(x_n, x, x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

(d)  $G(x_n, x_m, x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Proposition 2.6 [5]** : Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric space. A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is called  $G$ -cauchy sequence if given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $G(x_n, x_m, x_l) < \epsilon$  for all  $n, m, l \geq N$ ; that is if  $G(x_n, x_m, x_l) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n, m, l \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Proposition 2.7 [5]** : In a  $G$ -metric space  $(X, G)$ , the following two statements are equivalent.

(1) The sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is  $G$ -cauchy.

(2) For every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $G(x_n, x_m, x_m) < \epsilon$  for all  $n, m \geq N$ .

**Definition 2.8 [5]** : A  $G$ -metric space  $(X, G)$  is said to be  $G$ -complete (or a complete  $G$ -metric space) if every  $G$ -cauchy sequence in  $(X, G)$  is  $G$ -convergent in  $(X, G)$ .

**Proposition 2.9 [5]** : Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric space. Then the function  $G(x, y, z)$  is jointly continuous in all three of its variables.

**Definition 2.10 [5]** : A  $G$ -metric space  $(X, G)$  is called a symmetric  $G$ -metric space if

$$G(x, y, y) = G(y, x, x) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X.$$

**Proposition 2.11 [5]** : Every  $G$ -metric space  $(X, G)$  defines a metric space  $(X, d_G)$  by

$$d_G(x, y) = G(x, y, y) + G(y, x, x) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X.$$

Note that, if  $(X, G)$  is a symmetric  $G$ -metric space, then

$$d_G(x, y) = 2G(x, y, y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X.$$

However, if  $(X, G)$  is not a symmetric space, then it holds by the  $G$ -metric properties that

$$\frac{3}{2}G(x, y, y) \leq d_G(x, y) \leq 3G(x, y, y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X.$$

In general, these inequalities cannot be improved.

**Proposition 2.12 [5]** : A  $G$ -metric space  $(X, G)$  is  $G$ -complete if and only if  $(X, d_G)$  is a complete metric space.

**Proposition 2.13 [5]** : Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric space. Then for any  $x, y, z, a \in X$ , it follows that

- (1) If  $G(x, y, z) = 0$  then  $x = y = z$
- (2)  $G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, x, y) + G(x, x, z)$ .
- (3)  $G(x, y, y) \leq 2G(y, x, x)$ .
- (4)  $G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, a, z) + G(a, y, z)$ .
- (5)  $G(x, y, z) \leq \frac{2}{3}\{G(x, a, a) + G(y, a, a) + G(z, a, a)\}$ .

### 3. Main Result

**Theorem 3.1 :** Let  $(X, G)$  be a complete  $G$ -metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping which satisfies the following condition for all  $x, y, z \in X$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 G(Tx, Ty, Tz) \leq & k \max\{G(x, Tx, Tx) + G(y, Ty, Ty) + G(z, Tz, Tz), \\
 & G(x, Tx, Tx) + G(y, Tx, Tx) + G(z, Tx, Tx), \\
 & G(x, Ty, Ty) + G(z, Ty, Ty), G(x, Tz, Tz) + G(y, Tz, Tz)\}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.1}$$

where  $0 \leq k < \frac{1}{3}$ , then  $T$  has a unique fixed point (say  $u$ ), and  $T$  is  $G$ -continuous at  $u$ .

**Proof :** Suppose that  $T$  satisfies the condition (3.1). Let  $x_0 \in X$  be an ordinary point, and define the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  by  $x_n = T^n x_0$ , that is

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_1 &= T^1 x_0 = Tx_0, \\
 x_2 &= T^2 x_0 = T(Tx_0) = Tx_1, \\
 x_3 &= T^3 x_0 = T(T^2 x_0) = Tx_2, \\
 &\vdots \\
 x_n &= Tx_{n-1}, x_{n+1} = Tx_n.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) = G(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n, Tx_n),$$

then by (3.1), we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
 G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \leq & k \max\{G(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}) + G(x_n, Tx_n, Tx_n) \\
 & + G(x_n, Tx_n, Tx_n), G(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}) \\
 & + G(x_n, Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}) + G(x_n, Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}), \\
 & G(x_{n-1}, Tx_n, Tx_n) + G(x_n, Tx_n, Tx_n), G(x_{n-1}, Tx_n, Tx_n) + G(x_n, Tx_n, Tx_n)\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \leq & k \max\{G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) + G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) + G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}), \\
& G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) + G(x_n, x_n, x_n) + G(x_n, x_n, x_n), \\
& G(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) + G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}), \\
& G(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) + G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})\}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \leq & k \max\{G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) + 2G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}), G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n), \\
& G(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) + G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})\}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \leq & k \max\{G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) + 2G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}), \\
& G(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) + G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})\}.
\end{aligned}$$

By (G5) in Definition 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \leq & k \max\{G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) + 2G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}), \\
& G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) + G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) + G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})\}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \leq & k \max\{G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) + 2G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}), \\
& G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) + 2G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})\}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \leq k\{G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) + 2G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})\}.$$

$$G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \leq kG(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) + 2kG(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}),$$

$$(1 - 2k)G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \leq kG(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n),$$

$$G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \leq \frac{k}{1 - 2k}G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n),$$

$$G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \leq qG(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n). \quad (3.2)$$

where  $q = \frac{k}{1-2k} < 1$ , since  $0 \leq k < \frac{1}{3}$ .

Repeated application of inequality (3.2), we obtain

$$G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \leq q^n G(x_0, x_1, x_1). \quad (3.3)$$

Then, for all  $m, n \in N, m > n$ , we have by repeated use of rectangular inequality (G5),

$$G(x_n, x_m, x_m) \leq G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) + G(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, x_{n+2}) + \cdots + G(x_{m-1}, x_m, x_m).$$

By (3), we get,

$$\begin{aligned}
 G(x_n, x_m, x_m) &\leq q^n G(x_0, x_1, x_1) + q^{n+1} G(x_0, x_1, x_1) + \cdots + q^{m-1} G(x_0, x_1, x_1) \\
 G(x_n, x_m, x_m) &\leq (q^n + q^{n+1} + \cdots + q^{m-1}) G(x_0, x_1, x_1). \\
 G(x_n, x_m, x_m) &\leq q^n (1 + q + q^2 + \cdots) G(x_0, x_1, x_1) \\
 G(x_n, x_m, x_m) &\leq \frac{q^n}{1-q} G(x_0, x_1, x_1). \tag{3.4}
 \end{aligned}$$

Then  $G(x_n, x_m, x_m) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ .

For  $n, m, l \in N$ , by rectangular inequality of  $G$ -metric space implies that

$$G(x_n, x_m, x_l) \leq G(x_n, x_m, x_m) + G(x_m, x_m, x_l).$$

Taking limit as  $n, m, l \rightarrow \infty$ , we get  $G(x_n, x_m, x_l) \rightarrow 0$ .

So  $\{x_n\}$  is  $G$ -cauchy sequence. By completeness of  $(X, G)$ , there exist  $u \in X$  such that  $\{x_n\}$  is  $G$ -converges to  $u$ .

Suppose that  $Tu \neq u$ ,  $G(x_n, Tu, Tu) = G(Tx_{n-1}, Tu, Tu)$ .

Then by (3.1), we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
 G(x_n, Tu, Tu) &\leq k \max\{G(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}) + G(u, Tu, Tu) + G(u, Tu, Tu), \\
 &\quad G(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}) + G(u, Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}) + G(u, Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}), \\
 &\quad G(x_{n-1}, Tu, Tu) + G(u, Tu, Tu), G(x_{n-1}, Tu, Tu) + G(u, Tu, Tu)\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 G(x_n, Tu, Tu) &\leq k \max\{G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) + 2G(u, Tu, Tu), \\
 &\quad G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) + 2G(u, x_n, x_n), \\
 &\quad G(x_{n-1}, Tu, Tu) + G(u, Tu, Tu)\}. \tag{3.5}
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (3.5), and using the fact that  $G$  is continuous in its variables, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 G(u, Tu, Tu) &\leq k \max\{G(u, u, u) + 2G(u, Tu, Tu), G(u, u, u) + 2G(u, u, u), \\
 &\quad G(u, Tu, Tu) + G(u, Tu, Tu)\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$G(u, Tu, Tu) \leq k \max\{2G(u, Tu, Tu), 2G(u, Tu, Tu)\}.$$

This implies that

$$G(u, Tu, Tu) \leq 2kG(u, Tu, Tu). \quad (3.6)$$

The inequality (3.6) is contradiction since  $2k < 1$ .

This implies that  $Tu = u$ .

Therefore  $u$  is a fixed point of  $T$ .

To prove the uniqueness of the fixed point, suppose that  $v \neq u$  such that  $Tv = v$ , then,

$$G(u, v, v) = G(Tu, Tv, Tv),$$

then by (3.1), we have,

$$\begin{aligned} G(u, v, v) \leq & k \max\{G(u, Tu, Tu) + G(v, Tv, Tv) + G(v, Tv, Tv), \\ & G(u, Tu, Tu) + G(v, Tu, Tu) + G(v, Tu, Tu), G(u, Tv, Tv) + G(v, Tv, Tv), \\ & G(u, Tv, Tv) + G(v, Tv, Tv)\}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} G(u, v, v) \leq & k \max\{G(u, u, u) + G(v, v, v) + G(v, v, v), \\ & G(u, , u, u) + G(v, u, u) + G(v, u, u), \\ & G(u, v, v) + G(v, v, v), G(u, v, v) + G(v, v, v)\}. \end{aligned}$$

$$G(u, v, v) \leq k \max\{0, 2G(v, u, u), G(u, v, v), G(u, v, v)\}.$$

$$G(u, v, v) \leq k \max\{2G(v, u, u), G(u, v, v)\},$$

$$G(u, v, v) \leq k \max\{2G(v, u, u), 2G(v, u, u)\}$$

$$(\text{Since } G(x, y, y) \leq 2G(y, x, x)).$$

$$G(u, v, v) \leq 2kG(v, u, u). \quad (3.7)$$

By repeated use of same argument in right side of (3.7), we obtain

$$G(u, v, v) \leq (2k)(2k)G(u, v, v)$$

$$G(u, v, v) \leq 4k^2 G(u, v, v) \quad (3.8)$$

which is a contradiction since  $0 \leq k < \frac{1}{3} \Rightarrow 0 \leq k^2 < \frac{1}{9} \Rightarrow 0 \leq 4k^2 < \frac{4}{9} < 1 \Rightarrow 4k^2 < 1$ .

Therefore  $u$  is a unique fixed point of  $T$ .

Now, we show that,  $T$  is  $G$ -continuous at  $u$ . Let  $\{y_n\}$  be a sequence in  $X$ , by completeness of  $X$ , the sequence  $\{y_n\}$  converges to  $u$  in  $X$ . That is

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = u, \quad (3.9)$$

then by (3.1), we have,

$$\begin{aligned} G(Tu, Ty_n, Ty_n) &\leq k \max\{G(u, Tu, Tu) + G(y_n, Ty_n, Ty_n) + G(y_n, Ty_n, Ty_n), \\ &\quad G(u, Tu, Tu) + G(y_n, Tu, Tu) + G(y_n, Tu, Tu), \\ &\quad G(u, Ty_n, Ty_n) + G(y_n, Ty_n, Ty_n), \\ &\quad G(u, Ty_n, Ty_n) + G(y_n, Ty_n, Ty_n)\}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} G(u, Ty_n, Ty_n) &\leq k \max\{G(u, u, u) + G(y_n, Ty_n, Ty_n) + G(y_n, Ty_n, Ty_n), \\ &\quad G(u, u, u) + G(y_n, u, u) + G(y_n, u, u), G(u, Ty_n, Ty_n) + G(y_n, Ty_n, Ty_n)\}. \end{aligned}$$

$$G(u, Ty_n, Ty_n) \leq k \max\{2G(y_n, Ty_n, Ty_n), 2G(y_n, u, u), G(u, Ty_n, Ty_n) + G(y_n, Ty_n, Ty_n)\}.$$

By (G5) of Definition 2.1, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} G(u, Ty_n, Ty_n) &\leq k \max\{2(G(y_n, u, u) + G(u, Ty_n, Ty_n)), 2G(y_n, u, u), \\ &\quad G(u, Ty_n, Ty_n) + (G(y_n, u, u) + G(u, Ty_n, Ty_n))\}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} G(u, Ty_n, Ty_n) &\leq k \max\{2G(y_n, u, u) + 2G(u, Ty_n, Ty_n), 2G(y_n, u, u), \\ &\quad G(y_n, u, u) + 2G(u, Ty_n, Ty_n)\}. \end{aligned}$$

$$G(u, Ty_n, Ty_n) \leq k\{2G(y_n, u, u) + 2G(u, Ty_n, Ty_n)\}.$$

$$G(u, Ty_n, Ty_n) \leq 2kG(y_n, u, u) + 2kG(u, Ty_n, Ty_n),$$

$$(1 - 2k)G(u, Ty_n, Ty_n) \leq 2kG(y_n, u, u),$$

$$G(u, Ty_n, Ty_n) \leq \frac{2k}{1 - 2k} G(y_n, u, u). \quad (3.10)$$

Taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (3.10), we obtain that

$$G(u, Ty_n, Ty_n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore  $Ty_n \rightarrow Tu = u$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .



This implies that  $T$  is  $G$ -continuous at  $u$ .

**Corollary 3.2 :** Let  $(X, G)$  be a complete  $G$ -metric space and let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a self mapping which satisfies the following condition for some  $m \in N$  and for all  $x, y, z \in X$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} G(T^m x, T^m y, T^m z) \leq & k \max\{G(x, T^m x, T^m x) + G(y, T^m y, T^m y) \\ & + G(z, T^m z, T^m z), G(x, T^m x, T^m x) + G(y, T^m x, T^m x) \\ & + G(z, T^m x, T^m x), G(x, T^m y, T^m y) + G(z, T^m y, T^m y), \\ & G(x, T^m z, T^m z) + G(y, T^m z, T^m z)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

where  $0 \leq k < \frac{1}{3}$ , then  $T$  has a unique fixed point (say  $u$ ), and  $T$  is  $G$ -continuous at  $u$ .

**Proof :** Given that  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is self mapping, then for all  $m \in N$ ,  $T^m : X \rightarrow X$ .

Therefore  $(X, G)$  be a complete  $G$ -metric space and  $T^m : X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping which satisfies the given condition (3.11), then by Theorem 3.1,  $T^m$  has a unique fixed point (say  $u$ ), and  $T^m$  is  $G$ -continuous.

Now we shall prove that  $u$  is a unique fixed point  $T^m$ .

Consider  $Tu = T(T^m u) = T^{m+1}u = T^m(Tu)$ .

Therefore  $T^m(Tu) = Tu$ .  $Tu$  is a fixed point of  $T^m$ .

Since  $T^m$  has a unique fixed point  $u$ ,  $Tu = u$ .

Therefore  $u$  is a unique fixed point of  $T^m$ .

**Theorem 3.3 :** Let  $(X, G)$  be a complete  $G$ -metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping which satisfies the following condition for all  $x, y, z \in X$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} G(Tx, Ty, Ty) \leq & k \max\{G(x, Tx, Tx) + 2G(y, Ty, Ty), G(x, Tx, Tx) + 2G(y, Tx, Tx), \\ & G(x, Ty, Ty) + G(y, Ty, Ty)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

where  $0 \leq k < \frac{1}{3}$ , then  $T$  has a unique fixed point (say  $u$ ), and  $T$  is  $G$ -continuous at  $u$ .

**Proof :** Setting  $z = y$  in condition (3.1), then it reduced to condition (3.12), and the proof follows the theorem (3.1).

## References

- [1] Gähler S., 2-metriche raume und ihre topologische strukture, Math. Nachr., 26 (1963), 115-148.

- [2] Gähler S., Zur geometrische 2-metrische raume, *Revue Roumaine de Math. Pures et Appl.*, 11 (1996), 664-669.
- [3] Dhage B. C., Generalized metric space and mapping with fixed point, *Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.*, 84 (1992), 329-336.
- [4] Dhage B. C., Generalized metric space and topological structure I, *An. Stint. Univ. Al. I. Cuza Iasi. Math (N.S)*, 46 (2000), 3-24.
- [5] Mustafa Z. and Sims B., A new approach to generalized metric spaces, *Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis*, 7(2) (2006), 289-297.
- [6] Mustafa Z., Obiedat H. and Awawdeh F., Some fixed point theorem for mapping on complete G-metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* Volume 2008, Article ID 189870, 12 pages, (2008).
- [7] Mustafa Z. and Sims B., some remarks concerning D-metric spaces, in *proceedings of the International Conference on Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, (2004), 189-198, Yokohama, Japan.
- [8] Mustafa Z. and Sims B., Fixed Point Theorems for Contractive Mappings in complete G metric Spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, Vol. 2009, Article ID 917175, (2009).
- [9] Mustafa Z., Shatanawi W. and Bataineh M., Existence of fixed point results in G-metric Spaces, *International J. Math. Math. Sciences*, vol. 2009, Article ID 283028, (2009).
- [10] Mustafa Z. and Sims B., Fixed Point Theorems for Contractive Mappings in Complete G-metric spaces, volume 2009, Article ID 917175, 10 pages.