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#### Abstract

In this paper, we study about the prime divisors of the values of cyclotomic polynomials and some properties of cyclotomic polynomials. We also give an improved version of a result given by Motose in 1995.


## 1. Introduction

Let $a$ and $m$ be two positive integers. The smallest positive integer $d$ satisfying

$$
a^{d} \equiv 1(\bmod m)
$$

is called order of $a$ modulo $m$, denoted by $|a|_{m}$.
Motose have extensively studied the values of cyclotomic polynomials. In a paper appeared in 1993 [5], he proved that the cyclotomic polynomials $Q_{n}(x)$ are strictly increasing for $x \geq 1$. Later in 2004 [8] and 2005 [9], this result was subsequently corrected for $x \geq 2$ and $x \geq 3 / 2$. He also studied about the characterization of prime divisors of values of cyclotomic polynomials. In another paper [6], he gave new proof for the existence of
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primitive root modulo all primes, odd prime power using cyclotomic polynomials. He also showed that for $a \in \mathbb{N}, Q_{n}(a)$ of distinct degrees are almost relatively prime. The result was extended in 2006 to the case of cyclotomic polynomials and obtained that the greatest common divisor of two cyclotomic polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ is either 1 or a prime number [10]. In another paper [7] appeared in 2003, he gave new proof on some fundamental results in finite fields and a new method for the factorization of a number using the properties of cyclotomic polynomials. His works produced excellent properties about cyclotomic polynomials realizing it as an important tool of proving some well known results of finite fields, Ramanunjan's sums and Fibbonacci polynomials. Interestingly, the sequence of numbers generated by the cyclotomic polynomials $Q_{n}(2)$ are observed to contain the Mersenne numbers $2^{p}-1$ and the Fermat numbers $2^{2^{m}}+1$ [2]. In this paper, we try to study the divisor of $Q_{n}\left(x^{m}\right)$ with some conditions on $m$ and $n$. Further, we study about the multiple prime divisor of the values of $Q_{n}\left(x^{m}\right)$.

## 2. Preliminaries

We state below an important result given by Guerrier [3].
Theorem 2.1: If $p$ is any prime, $p \nmid n$ and $|p|_{n}=d$, then $Q_{n}(x)$ factors modulo $p$ into product of $\varphi(n) / d$ distinct irreducible factors each of degree $d$ and $Q_{p^{r} n}(x)=$ $Q_{n}(x)^{\varphi\left(p^{r}\right)}(\bmod p)$ for any positive integer $r$ where $\phi$ is the Euler's $\phi$ function.
Cheng et al. [1] gave a formula for the resultant of cyclotomic polynomials. In proving the formula, they used an important lemma which is the factorization of $Q_{n}\left(x^{m}\right)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ and is given as follows:
Lemma 2.2: For positive integers $m$ and $n$.

$$
Q_{n}\left(x^{m}\right)=\prod_{[d, m]=m n} Q_{d}(x)
$$

From the above theorem, they deduced the following result:
Lemma 2.3 : Let $(m, n)=1$. Then

$$
Q_{n}\left(x^{m}\right)=\prod_{d \mid m} Q_{n d}(x)
$$

Motose [7] gave a result about the order of an element in a commutative ring $R$ of positive characteristic which is given as follows:

Theorem 2.4 : Let $R$ be a commutative ring of characteristic $p>0$, namely, containing a prime $\operatorname{ring} \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$. Assume $Q_{n}(a)=0$ for $a \in R$. Then, $n=p^{e}|a|_{p}$ where $e \geq 0$.
McDaniel [4] proved the following theorem:
Theorem 2.5 : Let $a, r$ and $m$ be positive integers with $(m, \varphi(m))=1$. If $|a|_{m}=n$ and $a^{\varphi(m)} \equiv 1\left(\bmod m^{r}\right)$, then $a^{n} \equiv 1\left(\bmod m^{r}\right)$.
Using this theorem, he gave a corollary that whenever $|a|_{p}=n$ and $a^{p-1} \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{r}\right)$ for some odd prime $p$, and positive integer $a$ and $r$, then $p^{r}$ divides $Q_{n}(a)$.
Motose [6] gave a corollary which is stated as:
Lemma 2.6: Assume $n, a \geq 2$ and $\left(n, Q_{n}(a)\right)=1$. Then, $Q_{n}(a)$ divides properly $Q_{n}\left(a^{k}\right)$ for $k \geq 2$ and $(k, n)=1$.

## 3. Main results

First of all, we give a result on the multiple prime divisor of $Q_{n}\left(a^{p^{k}}\right)$.
Theorem 3.1: Let $p$ be a prime and $m=n p^{k}, p \nmid n$ and $|a|_{p}=n$. Then, $p^{k+1} \mid Q_{n}\left(a^{p^{k}}\right)$. Proof: By definition, $|a|_{p}=n$ implies

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\Rightarrow p \nmid a^{d}-1 & \text { for } & d<n \\
\Rightarrow p \nmid Q_{d}(a) & \text { for } & d<n
\end{array}
$$

Also $a^{n} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$. And

$$
a^{n}-1=\prod_{d \mid n} Q_{d}(a)
$$

Therefore, $p \mid Q_{n}(a)$. Using Theorem 2.1, we have

$$
Q_{p^{k} n}(a) \equiv Q_{n}(a)^{\varphi\left(p^{k}\right)}(\bmod p)
$$

for any positive integer $k$ which implies that $p \mid Q_{p^{k} n}(a)$ for any positive integer $k$. Also from theorem 2.3 we have

$$
Q_{n}\left(a^{p^{k}}\right)=Q_{n}(a) Q_{n p}(a) \ldots Q_{n p^{k}}(a)
$$

Hence, $p^{k+1} \mid Q_{n}\left(a^{p^{k}}\right)$.
Motose [6] proved the following theorem in 1995.
Theorem 3.2 : Assume $k \geq 2$. Then, $p^{k} \mid Q_{n}(a)$ for some $n$ iff $a^{p-1} \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{k}\right)$.
It is evident from the following examples that the above theorem is not always true.

Example 3.3: Let $a=7$, and $n=2$. Then, $Q_{2}(7)=8$. So, in this case $k=3$ and $p=2$. But $7 \not \equiv 1\left(\bmod 2^{3}\right)$.
Example 3.4: Let $a=11$, and $n=2$. Then, $Q_{2}(11)=12$. For this case $k=2$ and $p=2$. But $11 \neq 1\left(\bmod 2^{2}\right)$.
For any two positive integers $a$ and $n$ greater than 1, a Zsigmondy prime for the pair $a$ and $n$ is a prime $p$ such that $p \nmid a$ and $|a|_{p}=n$. Roitman [11] proved that if $a, n$ are integers greater than 1 , and $p$ be a prime factor of $Q_{n}(a)$, then $p$ is a non Zsigmondy prime for the pair $a$ and $n$ iff $p \mid n$. And, in this case $p$ is the largest prime factor of $n$, and $n=p^{f} m$, where $m$ is a positive integer dividing $p-1$. Moreover, $p^{2} \nmid Q_{n}(a)$ unless $p=n=2$. So, it clarifies that the above Theorem 3.2 does not hold for $p=n=2$. However, if we assume $p$ to be an odd prime and $n$ to be $|a|_{p}$, then the result is true. Now, we give an improved version of the above Theorem 3.2 which is given as follows:
Theorem 3.5 : Let $p$ be an odd prime and $|a|_{p}=n$. Then, $p^{k} \mid Q_{n}(a)$ iff $a^{p-1} \equiv 1(\bmod$ $\left.p^{k}\right)$.
Proof: Let $p^{k} \mid Q_{n}(a)$. Then $p^{k} \mid a^{n}-1$. So, by definition of $|a|_{p}, n \mid p-1$. Hence, $a^{p-1} \equiv$ $1\left(\bmod p^{k}\right)$.
Conversely, let $a^{p-1} \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{k}\right)$. Then, using Theorem 2.5 we have $a^{n} \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{k}\right)$. Also, we have $a^{n}-1=\prod_{d \mid n} Q_{d}(a)$. Since $|a|_{p}=n, p \mid Q_{n}(a)$ but $p \nmid Q_{d}(a)$ for $d<n$ because, if $p \mid Q_{d}(a)$ for $d<n$, then $p \mid x^{d}-1$ for $d<n$ which is a contradiction to the definition of order. So, $p^{k} \mid Q_{n}(a)$.
Proposition 3.6: If $a$ is an odd positive integer and $n \geq 2$, then $Q_{2^{n}}(a)$ is twice an odd number.
Proof : Let $a=2 k+1$ for some $k$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{2^{n}}(a) & =a^{2^{n-1}}+1 \\
& =(2 k+1)^{2^{n-1}}+1 \\
& =(2 k)^{2^{n-1}}+\ldots+2^{n-1} \cdot 2 k+1+1 \\
& =2\left(2 k_{1}+1\right), \text { for some } k_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 3.7: Let $a=5$, and $n=2$. Then $Q_{4}(5)=26=2 \times 13$.
Theorem 3.8: Let $(m, n)=1$, where $m$ and $n$ are positive integers. Then, $Q_{n}\left(x^{d}\right)$ divides $Q_{n}\left(x^{m}\right)$ iff $d \mid m$.

Proof : Let $d \mid m$, then $(d, n)=1$. So, from lemma 2.3 we have

$$
Q_{n}\left(x^{d}\right)=\prod_{e \mid d} Q_{n e}(x)
$$

and

$$
Q_{n}\left(x^{m}\right)=\prod_{f \mid m} Q_{n f}(x)
$$

Since $d \mid m$, $e \mid m$. Hence, $Q_{n}\left(x^{d}\right) \mid Q_{n}\left(x^{m}\right)$.
Conversely, let $Q_{n}\left(x^{d}\right) \mid Q_{n}\left(x^{m}\right)$. Since the roots of $Q_{n}\left(x^{d}\right)$ are the $d^{t h}$ roots of the $n^{t h}$ roots of unity, the roots of $Q_{n}\left(x^{d}\right)$ are $n d^{t h}$ roots of unity including all the primitive $n d^{t h}$ roots of unity. This implies that $Q_{n d}(x) \mid Q_{n}\left(x^{d}\right)$. Also

$$
Q_{n}\left(x^{m}\right)=\prod_{s \mid m} Q_{n s}(x)
$$

implies that

$$
Q_{n d}(x) \text { divides } \prod_{s \mid m} Q_{n s}(x) .
$$

Since $Q_{n}(x)$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Z}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{n d}(x) & =Q_{n s}(x) \text { for some } s \\
\Rightarrow n d & =n s \\
\Rightarrow d & =s
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies $d \mid m$.
Example 3.9 : $Q_{3}\left(2^{4}\right)=13 \times 21$ and $Q_{3}\left(2^{2}\right)=21$ i.e. $Q_{3}\left(2^{2}\right)$ divides $Q_{3}\left(2^{4}\right)$.
The corollary given below generalizes the Lemma 2.6 of Motose [6].
Corollary 3.10 : Let $n, a \geq 2$ and $(m, n)=1$, where $m, a$ and $n$ are positive integers. Then, $Q_{n}\left(a^{d}\right) \mid Q_{n}\left(a^{m}\right)$ iff $d \mid m$.
Motose [7] have shown the following theorem 3.11 in 2003.
Theorem 3.11 : For a natural number $n$, let $a$ and $m$ be natural numbers such that $(a m, n)=1$ and $a^{m} \equiv 1(\bmod n)$. Then, $n=\prod_{d \mid n}\left(n, Q_{d}(a)\right)$, where $(s, t)$ means the greatest common divisor of two numbers $s$ and $t$.
We now give two different forms of the Theorem 3.11.
Theorem 3.12 : For a natural number $n$, let $a$ and $m$ be natural numbers such that $(a m, n)=1, a^{m} \equiv 1(\bmod n)$ and $m=m_{1} m_{2}$. Then,
(i) $n=\prod_{d \mid m_{1}}\left(n, Q_{d}\left(a^{m_{2}}\right)\right)$
(ii) if $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)=1, n=\prod_{d \mid m_{1}} \prod_{e \mid m_{2}}\left(n, Q_{d e}(a)\right)$
such that ( $\left.n, Q_{d}\left(a^{m_{2}}\right)\right)$ and $\left(n, Q_{d^{\prime}}\left(a^{m_{2}}\right)\right)$ are relatively prime for distinct $d$ and $d^{\prime}$.
Proof: (i) We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
n & =\left(n, a^{m_{1} m_{2}}-1\right) \\
& =\left(n,\left(a^{m_{2}}\right)^{m_{1}}-1\right) \\
& =\left(n, \prod_{d \mid m_{1}} Q_{d}\left(a^{m_{2}}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose $p$ is a common prime divisor of $\left(n, Q_{d}\left(a^{m_{2}}\right)\right)$ and ( $\left.n, Q_{d^{\prime}}\left(a^{m_{2}}\right)\right)$, where $d, d^{\prime}$ are distinct divisors of $m_{1}$. Then, $p$ divides $n, Q_{d}\left(a^{m_{2}}\right)$ and $Q_{d^{\prime}}\left(a^{m_{2}}\right)$. This implies $d=p^{f}\left|a^{m_{2}}\right|_{p}$ and $d^{\prime}=p^{f^{\prime}}\left|a^{m_{2}}\right|_{p}$ for some $f$ and $f^{\prime}$. But we have $\left(n, m_{1}\right)=1$. So, $d=\left|a^{m_{2}}\right|_{p}$ and $d^{\prime}=\left|a^{m_{2}}\right|_{p}$. Hence, for distinct $d$ and $d^{\prime},\left(n, Q_{d}\left(a^{m_{2}}\right)\right)$ and $\left(n, Q_{d^{\prime}}\left(a^{m_{2}}\right)\right)$ are relatively prime. Therefore,

$$
n=\prod_{d \mid m_{1}}\left(n, Q_{d}\left(a^{m_{2}}\right)\right)
$$

(ii) If $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)=1$, then applying lemma 2.3 to (i) we get

$$
n=\prod_{d \mid m_{1}}\left(n, \prod_{e \mid m_{2}} Q_{d e}(a)\right)
$$

Proceeding the same line of proof as in (i) one can show that ( $\left.n, Q_{d e}(a)\right)$ and ( $n, Q_{d^{\prime} e^{\prime}}(a)$ ) are relatively prime for distinct $d e$ and $d^{\prime} e^{\prime}$. So,

$$
n=\prod_{d \mid m_{1}} \prod_{e \mid m_{2}}\left(n, Q_{d e}(a)\right)
$$
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